

<i>(Enter name of biosolids case study above)</i>	
Organisation Managing Case Study	
Key Contact Details	
Name	George Fietje
Position	General Manager
Email	gfietje@livingearth.co.nz
Phone	09 574 3607
Location of case study	
Biosolids Produced at <i>(Location, suburb/region/nearest town, State)</i>	Wellington
Biosolids Used at <i>(Location, suburb/region/nearest town, State)</i>	Wellington region

Technical Aspects		
Year Case Study Operation Commenced		
Ultimate Use of Biosolids	Biosolids compost applied to urban and rural markets <i>(eg land application, incineration, landfill capping etc)</i>	
How were the biosolids managed before this?	Disposed of to sea.	
Quantities of biosolids produced		
Dry Solids (t/yr)	4250dtpy biosolids + 5000dtpy (approx) bulking agent	
Moisture Content of Final Product (%ds)	55% d.s	
Quality and Classification <i>(using State Biosolids Guidelines Definitions)</i>		
Parameter	Quality	Classification
Heavy Metals		
Zinc	Limit = 575ppm	Urban and Rural
Copper	Limit = 270ppm	
Cadmium	Limit = 3ppm	
Other/s?	Pb = 200, Ni = 60, Hg = 2, Cr = 400	
Microbial		
Salmonella	Refer attached	
Faecal Coliform	Refer attached	
Other/s		
Organic contam.	Refer attached	
Restrictions on Use Due to Quality		
1. Compost must comply with contaminant limits before can be distributed. Users		

apply compost in accordance with recommended application rates			
2.			
3.			
4.			
Treatment Summary			
Stabilisation			
Dewatering	Yes – prior to composting		
Further Processing	Composting		
Cartage	Product sold in bulk and bags		
Spreading or Application	For rural market = fertiliser spreader, Urban market = by hand		
Costs and income from biosolids management			
Components	Supplier/Processor		End User
	Cost (A\$/dt)	Income (A\$/dt)	Cost (A\$/dt)
Processing			
Storage			
Cartage			
Spreading			
Biosolids			
Total			
Comments	Living Earth has a contract with the Wellington City Council to operate the plant and distribute product. LEL is payed a lump sum to operate the plant and receives income from product sales		
<i>Note all Supplier/Processor components could be a cost or income. eg biosolids managed through land filled could be a cost, but biosolids applied to land might provide income to the supply and be a cost to the end user. If</i>			
Environmental Management Requirements			
Environment Improvement Plan (EIP)	LEL operates the plant in accordance with a Quality Manual certified to ISO9002 and resource consent conditions.		
Monitoring	Refer attached Resource consent		
Reporting	Refer attached Resource consent		
Key Technical Learnings from Production and Use of Biosolids			

1. Production of a quality compost is dependent upon good process control including and adequate volume of bulking agent.
2. Marketing of product is the challenging end of the business. This requires creating a demand for the product through performance, price and a strong brand that is trusted by consumers.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.

Community Engagement		
Key Community Perception Issues		
Issue	How Addressed	
Appearance of Biosolids	Compost – high consumer acceptance	
Odours	Compost = earthy odour	
Fear of Contamination	Low contaminant limits, meets the approval of regulators (Ministry of Health, Environment, Agriculture) and use of Brand. A trusted Brand is important to reflect product values and safety.	
Not in my Back Yard (NIMBY) Syndrome	N/A	
Other		
Other		
Other		
Stakeholders and Engagement Methodology		
Stakeholder (Individual or group)	Engagement Methodology	Outcome
Federal		
State		
Regional	Resource consent process	Successful
Local	Resource consent process, marketing	Successful
Costs for Community Engagement		
Period of Engagement	12months	
Costs for Community Engagement (A\$) <i>(Over the period above)</i>	Estimated at \$75k prior to Resource consent granted	
Key Learnings from Community Engagement		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Involve the community, Provide all the information but put this in context. For example most materials applied to soil contain heavy metals. The issue is therefore not the presence of heavy metals but the concentration. 2. Seeing is believing – growth trials on product performance speaks volumes 3. 		

4.
5.

Testimonials of Biosolids Users	
Name	
Why Used	
Testimonial	
Name	
Why Used	
Testimonial	
Name	
Why Used	
Testimonial	

Photos

No.	Photo Description
-----	-------------------

1	
---	--

2	
---	--

3



4



5



6







Note: Please provide several photos of the case study. These could include the treatment process to the beneficial uses. Number photographs and insert a description for each in the matching numbers below. Digital photos are preferred using the highest quality or resolution possible

Approval to use case study on ABP website	
WWTP Authority	
I, the undersigned, approve the use the biosolids management system operated by _____ (insert company name) to be used as part of a case study for the Australasian Biosolids Partnership Website (www.biosolids.com.au). I also acknowledge that I have the authority in the company inserted above to make such an approval.	
Print name:	
Signature:	
Date: ____/____/2006	
Phone:	Email
User Authority	
I, the undersigned, approve the use of my experience with biosolids to be used as a case study for the Australasian Biosolids Partnership Website (www.biosolids.com.au).	
Print name: George Fietje	
Signature:	
Date: _9____/_May____/2006	
Phone: 064 9 574 3607	Email: gfietje@livingearth.co.nz