

<i>(Enter name of biosolids case study above)</i>	
<b>Organisation Managing Case Study</b>	Christchurch City Council
<b>Key Contact Details</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Mike Bourke
<b>Position</b>	Ops and Mtnce Manager
<b>Email</b>	mike.bourke@ccc.govt.nz
<b>Phone</b>	64-3-9418364
<b>Location of case study</b>	
<b>Biosolids Produced at</b> <i>(Location, suburb/region/ nearest town, State)</i>	Christchurch Wastewater Treatment Plant
<b>Biosolids Used at</b> <i>(Location, suburb/region/ nearest town, State)</i>	Christchurch – Burwood landfill for rehabilitation Has been used for forest application

<b>Technical Aspects</b>		
<b>Year Case Study Operation Commenced</b>	<b>Aug 2000 for forest application – applied intermittently over two years</b>	
<b>Ultimate Use of Biosolids</b>	Now only landfill rehabilitation as forests sold for dairy farm land	
<b>How were the biosolids managed before this?</b>	<b>Prior to 1997 all applied as a liquid slurry to sandy soils of local Council farmland</b>	
<b>Quantities of biosolids produced</b>		
<b>Dry Solids (t/yr)</b>	6,000	
<b>Moisture Content of Final Product (%ds)</b>	20%	
<b>Quality and Classification</b> <i>(using State Biosolids Guidelines Definitions)</i>		
<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Quality</b>	<b>Classification</b>
<b>Heavy Metals – meets Class Bb – NZ Guidelines</b>		
<b>Zinc</b>	<b>1360 mg/kg dry weight (medians)</b>	
<b>Copper</b>	<b>352</b>	
<b>Cadmium</b>	<b>4.35</b>	
<b>Other/s?</b>		
<b>Hg</b>	<b>1.8</b>	
<b>Cr</b>	<b>1100</b>	
<b>Ni</b>	<b>49</b>	
<b>Pb</b>	<b>91</b>	
<b>As</b>	<b>7</b>	
<b>Microbial – class B</b>		

<b>Other/s</b>			
<b>Restrictions on Use Due to Quality</b>			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Public exclusion 6 months on forest land</li> <li>2. Public excluded from landfill</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>			
<b>Treatment Summary</b>			
<b>Stabilisation</b>	<b>Anaerobic digestion</b>		
<b>Dewatering</b>	<b>Belt press</b>		
<b>Further Processing</b>	<i>Nil</i>		
<b>Cartage</b>	<i>Up to 50 km</i>		
<b>Spreading or Application</b>	<i>Application trailer in forest see attached</i>		
<b>Costs and income from biosolids management</b>			
Components	Supplier/Processor		End User
	Cost (A\$/dt)	Income (A\$/dt)	Cost (A\$/dt)
<b>Processing</b>	\$NZ150 /dry tonne		
<b>Storage</b>	nil		
<b>Cartage</b>	\$NZ200/dry tonne		
<b>Spreading</b>			
<b>Biosolids</b>			
<b>Total</b>	\$NZ350/dt		
<b>Comments</b>	<i>Costs are for dewatering, cartage, and mixing and application at landfill, or application in forests</i>		
<i>Note all Supplier/Processor components could be a cost or income. eg biosolids managed through land filled could be a cost, but biosolids applied to land might provide income to the supply and be a cost to the end user. If</i>			
<b>Environmental Management Requirements</b>			
<b>Environment Improvement Plan (EIP)</b>	<i>Land application and discharge consent required, requires hearing and management plan</i>		
<b>Monitoring</b>	<i>Biosolids – monthly, ground water annually, surface water annually soils annually</i>		
<b>Reporting</b>	<i>Annual reporting</i>		
<b>Key Technical Learnings from Production and Use of Biosolids</b>			

1. Changing dry solids content with change in treatment processes
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.

<b>Community Engagement</b>		
<b>Key Community Perception Issues</b>		
<b>Issue</b>	<b>How Addressed</b>	
<b>Appearance of Biosolids</b>	Black, damp soil like	
<b>Odours</b>	<i>Some</i>	
<b>Fear of Contamination</b>	<i>Concern of contamination of groundwater – all drinking water supplies come from ground water- pathogens and nitrates are main concerns Fear of wind blown pathogens - contaminants</i>	
<b>Not in my Back Yard (NIMBY) Syndrome</b>	<i>When you can satisfy the above concerns, NIMBY is raised, then BANANA (build absolutely nothing anywhere near anyone), and then BO – bugger off!</i>	
<b>Other</b>	Neighbours off-side with forest owners because of biosolids application	
<b>Other</b>		
<b>Other</b>		
<b>Stakeholders and Engagement Methodology</b>		
<b>Stakeholder (Individual or group)</b>	<b>Engagement Methodology</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
<b>Federal</b>		
<b>State</b>		
<b>Regional</b>	Consent application and hearing	
<b>Local</b>	Consent appl'n and hearing	
	Many meetings with forest neighbours	
<b>Costs for Community Engagement</b>		
<b>Period of Engagement</b>		
<b>Costs for Community Engagement (A\$)</b> <i>(Over the period above)</i>	<b>Not recorded</b>	
<b>Key Learnings from Community Engagement</b>		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Demonstration projects worthwhile</li> <li>2. Need local ‘champions’ – science not always trusted</li> <li>3. Scientific studies need to precede full scale applications</li> <li>4.</li> </ol>		

<b>Testimonials of Biosolids Users</b>	
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Why Used</b>	
<b>Testimonial</b>	
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Why Used</b>	
<b>Testimonial</b>	
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Why Used</b>	
<b>Testimonial</b>	
<b>Photos</b>	
<b>No.</b>	<b>Photo Description</b>
<b>1</b>	
<b>2</b>	
<b>3</b>	
<b>4</b>	
<b>5</b>	
<b>6</b>	
<p><i>Note: Please provide several photos of the case study. These could include the treatment process to the beneficial uses. Number photographs and insert a description for each in the matching numbers below. Digital photos are preferred using the highest quality or resolution possible</i></p>	

<b>Approval to use case study on ABP website</b>	
<b>WWTP Authority</b>	
I, the undersigned, approve the use the biosolids management system operated by _____ (insert company name) to be used as part of a case study for the Australasian Biosolids Partnership Website ( <a href="http://www.biosolids.com.au">www.biosolids.com.au</a> ). I also acknowledge that I have the authority in the company inserted above to make such an approval.	
Print name:	
Signature:	
Date: ____/____/2006	
Phone:	Email
<b>User Authority</b>	
I, the undersigned, approve the use of my experience with biosolids to be used as a case study for the Australasian Biosolids Partnership Website ( <a href="http://www.biosolids.com.au">www.biosolids.com.au</a> ).	
Print name:	
Signature:	
Date: ____/____/2006	
Phone:	Email:

Probably not worthy of a case study as no longer applying to forest land. Rehabilitation of Burwood landfill will continue for up to the next 2 years and then other options will need to have been developed if we wish to avoid landfilling at new Kate valley landfill. Options being worked on at present – consultation during 2005 gave a clear direction to try and use biosolids for energy generation as a first option with land application as a long second option.